

Judge Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSHUA S. MACKE and  
JAMIE L. MACKE,

Defendants.

NO. CR06-127RSM

ORDER CONTINUING  
TRIAL DATE

This matter having come before the undersigned Court by stipulation and motion of counsel, the United States through the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, Susan M. Roe, Assistant United States Attorney for said district, and Joshua Macke by and through his attorney David Gehrke and Jamie Macke by and through her attorney Stewart Riley, the Court being fully advised in the matter, now finds that

The trial was scheduled for July 10, 2006. The defendants are each waiving his or her speedy trial rights until September 25, 2006, which is beyond the new trial date of September 11, 2006. The motion is made because there is a strong possibility that the matter will resolve short of trial as to both defendants, however, the schedules of counsel has delayed the resolution.

All counsel have been diligent in addressing this matter, however resolution of this matter is affected by a continuing investigation into many other targets. The complicated facts of the investigation and of other targets delay determination of the parameters of these defendants' culpability. Further investigation may tend to exculpate one of these

1 defendants and both defendants stand to benefit by further investigation. After more  
2 investigation, the attorneys may need additional time for discussion and additional  
3 necessary attorney-counsel discussion. None of this can be accomplished satisfactorily  
4 prior to trial

5 All parties believe that with the continuance, this matter will be handled other than  
6 with a trial and that the continuance may be to the defendants' benefit.

7 The Court is aware that time limitations for trial and speedy trial concerns are set  
8 forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161.

9 Section 3161(h) outlines the periods of excludable time, including:

10 (8)(A) Any period of delay resulting from a continuance  
11 granted by any judge on his own motion or at the request of  
12 the defendant or his counsel or at the request of the attorney  
13 for the Government, if the judge granted such continuance on  
14 the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by  
15 taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and  
16 the defendant in a speedy trial. No such period of delay  
17 resulting from a continuance granted by the court in  
18 accordance with this paragraph shall be excludable under this  
19 subsection unless the court sets forth, in the record of the  
20 case, either orally or in writing, its reasons for finding that the  
21 ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance  
22 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in  
23 a speedy trial.

18 In this matter, the Court finds that further time is reasonable and necessary as the  
19 requested time is within the speedy trial time period. Further, that the ends of justice,  
20 especially those which deal with consideration of victims and witnesses, are served by the  
21 requested continuance as this Title 21 trial has few civilian witnesses and no known  
22 victims. Therefore, the trial date is continued from July 10, 2006 until  
23 September 11, 2006.

24 Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2006.

25  
26 

27 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2 Presented by:

3  
4 s/Susan M. Roe  
SUSAN M. ROE  
5 Assistant United States Attorney  
WSBA 13000  
6 United States Attorney's Office  
601 Union Street, Suite 5100  
7 Seattle, WA 98101-3903  
Telephone: 206/553-1077  
8 Fax: 206/553-0755  
E-mail: susan.roe@usdoj.gov  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28